SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 1223 06446 3384

SAN FRANCISCO
PORT COMMISSION

MINUTES

January 23, 1974

(These are the only Minutes prior to 1977 that are available.)

D
REF
387.1
Sa593r
Jan.23
1974

SAN FRANCISCO
PORT COMMISSION

MINUTES

January 23, 1974

(These are the only Minutes prior to 1977 that are available.)

5/S

San Francisco Public Library

Government Information Center
San Francisco Public Library
100 Larkin Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the Library

DOCUMENTS
FEB 1 1974
SAN FRANCISCO PUBLIC LIBRARY

SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION

Next Meeting
February 13, 1974

San Francisco, Ca.
January 23, 1974

The San Francisco Port Commission met this day in regular session.

PRESENT: Commissioners Magnin, Rudden, Bridges, Driscoll, and Vannelli.

ABSENT: None.

The Public Relations Director announced that the winner of the contest to name the Central Basin public access area was present to accept his award. Mr. Stuart Oliver, Oakland, California, had submitted the name "Agua Vista" and this was adjudged the winning entry by independent judges Henry Kusserow of the EXAMINER and Bruce Stabio of the DAILY COMMERCIAL NEWS. Commissioner Magnin, the donor, presented Mr. Oliver with his personal check for $100, and Mr. Oliver made a short acceptance speech. The staff thanked Mr. Magnin for making this award possible.

Referring to Item 1, consideration of bids for Ferry Building first floor, the Port Director stated the bidders were present and wanted the opportunity to present their proposal to the public. Mr. David Stovel made the presentation on behalf of Bryant L. Morris Development Co., exhibiting master plot plan and floor plan for a shopping/terminal complex for the first floor of the Ferry Building. The Commercial Property Manager said bidder offers minimum rent of $62,400 per annum with reappraisal at the end of 25th year and 10-year intervals thereafter, and 18% of his gross income, not sales, but that figures to determine what his gross rents will be in order to determine what the 18% percentage rental will be have not been forthcoming. Port presently receives approximately $67,738.80 per year for about 17,600 sq. ft. against the $62,400 per year offered by the developer. On that basis he recommended the Commission reject the bid. The Port Director stated she had received a letter dated January 11 from the bidder, offering 20% of his revenue instead of 18%. The bidder projected gross revenue of $3 million the first year, $5 million the second, and hopefully $7 million the third year. The bidder was asked for breakdown on how those figures were derived, these figures have not been supplied, and on that basis the Port Director recommended the bid be rejected unless the Commission wished to take it under further consideration in order to obtain the information. There followed some discussion regarding the appraisal of the ground floor of the Ferry Building. The appraiser stated the Port should receive 36 cents per sq. ft. per month for the space offered. It was pointed out that the bid offer represented

3 1223 06446 3384

slightly more than 10 cents per sq. ft. per month. The appraiser indicated a total value of the ground and improvements "as is" is $2,000,000. On the usual 8% per annum, the minimum rent on a $2,000,000 valuation should be $160,000 per year. Commissioner Magnin asked what the staff recommended, and the Port Director stated the recommendation was to reject the bid in view of the information available. Commissioner Vannelli moved to accept this recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll. This motion was passed with all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Commercial Property Manager requested approval of License and Lease Nos. L-8277 to 8286 inclusive (8281 cancelled) and License and Lease Nos. L-8287 through L-8296, inclusive, which were routine matters. Commissioner Driscoll moved to approve these requests, Commissioner Vannelli seconded the motion which was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Commercial Property Manager stated that Standard Oil cancelled its license for the gas station and parking lot at Jefferson and Taylor Streets effective December 1, 1973. The Port is preparing the lot for temporary use as a parking lot operated by the Port until a decision is made as to the highest and best use of the lot. The Port has received a proposal to operate a public parking lot with the usual percentage of parking lot revenues to be paid to Port. Mr. Alessandro Baccari spoke on behalf of the Fisherman's Wharf Merchants' Association, saying the Association recommended a landscaped public area for a major portion of this area, along with the parking lot. He stated that the cable car line would be extended to a terminus in that area within the next year and a half.

Mr. Frank Burt of the Garage Employees' Union stated the union's position is that off-street parking lots should be manned. There followed some discussion as to how this parking lot is operated, and Mr. Burt contended that off-street parking was under the jurisdiction of the Garage Employees' Union, but he did appreciate this was planned as a short-term facility. Commissioner Magnin informed Mr. Burt that no decision would be made this date and that Mr. Burt would be called before any change was made. Meanwhile, operations would continue. Commissioner Magnin recommended the Commission study the problem further. Commissioner Vannelli moved to accept this recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Commercial Property Manager stated that effective July 1, 1974, the State of California law would permit U-turns at all intersections unless a prohibiting sign is erected. This will include The Embarcadero and Sansome. He therefore recommended adding Item 40 to the Harbor Traffic Code prohibiting U-turns in either direction on The Embarcadero where a sign is posted prohibiting same. It was regularly

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

https://archive.org/details/minutes2319sanf

moved, seconded and carried that Resolution 74-1 be adopted, all Commissioners voting "aye." (Resolution attached)

Mr. Morris Weisberger, representing the Sailors' Union, Maritime Trade Dept., asked to be heard regarding item 25, discussion of development of northern waterfront. Commissioner Driscoll requested that item 25 be called up out of order. Mr. Wiesberger stated he was in favor of a new passenger terminal, and on this basis would not object to some commercial development, but would object to commercial development if there were not a quid pro quo for maritime development.

The Commercial Property Developer referred to item 12, the request of BCDC Advisory Committee that Port declare a moratorium in non-maritime development, which was continued from December 12, 1973. He stated his recommendation remains the same as stated at that meeting, and called the Commission's attention to a letter from the Advisory Committee dated January 16, 1974, which states that their final plan would probably be completed sometime in April.

Commissioner Vannelli referred to his request for discussion of development of the northern waterfront. Commissioner Vannelli said the Commercial Property Developer's recommendation should be enlarged, that the development of that area is stalemated. It was his understanding it was being represented that the Port Commission had made some kind of pledge to the Advisory Committee that Port would not act on non-maritime development until the Advisory Committee concluded its study and developed its Special Area Plan. Commissioner Vannelli stated that notwithstanding that alleged pledge, the Commission should act now to break that stalemate; that the Commission should encourage developers. This would be beneficial to the interests of the Port and the City at large. The Commission has a duty, he said, to inspire development in this area. He further stated that Mr. Evers, chairman of the BCDC Waterfront Advisory Committee, had advised him the Committee's study would be completed within six weeks. Commissioner Vannelli then proposed to the Commission that they now actively investigate proposals for the development of this area but take no action to conclude any proposal until the Advisory Committee had concluded its work, that is, 120 days. This proposal, he said, would honor the pledge to the Advisory Committee, and get the Commission moving in the development of this area. Commissioner Vannelli exhorted the Commission to start today, with some period, 90 - 120 days, and pledge to take no action on the development of that area until such time as the Advisory Committee said it would conclude its work. Commissioner Magnin agreed with Commissioner Vannelli, that the Commission should go out for proposals, as long as none were accepted, for a reasonable time. Commissioner Vannelli then proposed that the Port Commission at this time entertain or solicit or investigate

proposals for the development of the area presently being studied by the BCDC for the next 120 days. Commissioner Magnin suggested 150 days. Commissioner Vannelli stated this was satisfactory to him. Commissioner Driscoll moved to accept this recommendation, Commissioner Rudden seconded that motion. The Port Director said that rather than making a motion covering the entire area now being studied by the BCDC, it should be those portions of that area decided by the Commission's resolution to be usable for non-maritime development. There followed some discussion as to which areas have been declared available for non-maritime use. The Port Director, with Commissioner Vannelli's consent, restated his proposal as follows: "The staff immediately consider, encourage, and solicit by advertising, proposals for those areas which in the Master Plan of the Port between Piers 7 and 24 have been designated as areas available for non-maritime development; that the Commission consider proposals for a period of 150 days; that the Commission will then evaluate the proposals at the end of the 150 days. Further, that the staff be instructed to examine the resolution of the Master Plan to determine if the areas designated as available for development are in fact still available, or whether those areas should be changed or corrected; that the resolution be updated and the information made available to the Commission within a month." Mr. Vannelli so moved, seconded by Mr. Bridges, all Commissioners voted "aye."

Mr. Ed Turner, Marine Cooks & Stewards Union, said he agreed with the Commission that prompt action be taken, as he was in favor of a new passenger terminal.

Mr. Jacobs of SPUR disagreed with Mr. Turner and urged the Commission not to take any precipitate action to alienate the Advisory Committee, which he said was the Port's strongest friend. The Advisory Committee, he said, is working to bring together heretofore dissident parties and they are beginning to work together, to the benefit of the Port. He further said any precipitous action now might throw them into turmoil, and that the resolution seems harshly worded.

Mr. Tom Bentley, representing Joe Belardi of the Culinary Workers, said a passenger terminal must be included in any proposal.

Mr. Jacobs suggested the Commission wait until the Special Area Plan is adopted by the BCDC, and to avoid antagonizing the BCDC, that a preamble to the resolution be added to read: "In order to maximize the time before the Advisory Committee concludes its study." Commissioner Vannelli said he had no objection to this added language, but Commissioner Bridges did object. All Commissioners voted to accept this resolution as previously stated.

At this point Commissioner Magnin said he wanted to go on record as urging any development on the Northern Waterfront include a passenger terminal; the staff to determine the best location or locations. Commissioner Driscoll moved to have this in the record, seconded by Mr. Vannelli, all Commissioners concurred.

The Commercial Property Manager reported that because of the energy crisis and steel shortage, LeBateau would not be able to commence operations in San Francisco until an undetermined date and requested extension of time to sign lease and commence payment of rent until December 31, 1974, and reduce the minimum rent to $750 per month when vessel is not operating and $3,000 per month when operating, with a review of the minimum rent at the end of each year after operations commence. Commissioner Vannelli moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll. The motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye." The Commercial Property Manager also recommended that the Port Commission accept proposals to enter into a development agreement for the ex-BART office building, subject to the BCDC. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll, all Commissioners voted "aye."

The Commercial Property Manager reported that Mirka Misak, operator of Pier 50 restaurant, License No. 8086, requests permission to sell the restaurant to Shui Shing Chun and Lau Tai Chun. Mr. and Mrs. Chun request three-year lease if transfer is approved, and will pay $377.90 per month against 6% of food sales and 8% of alcoholic beverage sales. Present rent paid is $355.40 per month. Commissioner Driscoll moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Rudden. Motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer requested approval of plans and specifications and authorization to take bids on replacement of under-deck water lines at Piers 30 and 32, Contract No. 2407 and addition to drainage, Seawall Lot 337, Contract 2408. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Vannelli, the motion passed with all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer reported on Contract No. 2403, Pier 50, Wood Pile Protective Wrapping, and recommended award of contract to The Diving Dutchman, low bidder, for lump sum of bid Item No. 1 and unit price of bid item No. 2 in the total amount of $115,445. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this recommendation, Commissioner Vannelli seconded, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer requested confirmation of award of Standard Agreement No. 1046 for inspection and repair of cracks at bin No. 506, Islais Creek Grain Elevator, authorized by telephone January 14, 1974, to Western Waterproofing Company, Inc., for lump sum price of bid Item No. 1 and unit price bid on Item No. 2 in the total extended amount of $2,200.00. Commissioner Driscoll moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Rudden, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer reported that five bids had been received for removal of building at Pier 52 damaged by fire. He recommended awarding the contract to T&R Excavators, low bidder, in the amount of $2,660. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this award, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer requested approval of the following item: Contract No. 2395 (46-A) for removal of Pier 46-A superstructures by T&R Excavators. Request acceptance of work as of December 4, 1973, an overrun of 21 days. Recommend and request time extension of 21 days. Request authorization to make final payment. Final contract price is $28,235.00 which includes original contract amount of $17,900.00 approved by Commission May 30, 1973 plus Modification No. 1 for $10,335.00 approved by the Commission July 25, 1973. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Acting Chief Engineer requested authorization to enter into standard agreement with Pacific Testing Laboratories, Seattle, Washington, in accordance with unit prices quoted in their proposal of December 26, 1973, in a total amount not to exceed $5,000, for inspection of welds on container cranes now being constructed by Star Iron & Steel Co. for Piers 80 and 94 E. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll, this motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

Counsel proposed amendments to the Traffic Code to add parking lot regulations by adding Article 11 and renumbering Article 12 and to renumber Section 86 to Section 90. Commissioner Driscoll moved to adopt this resolution, seconded by Commissioner Rudden, the resolution was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye." (Resolution 74-2 attached hereto)

The Comptroller requested approval of the minutes of the December 12, 1973 meeting, and approval of refunds and allowances for October, 1973 in the amount of $74,167.42 and November, 1973 in the amount of $9,283.68 for a total amount of $83,451.10. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve these two requests, seconded by Commissioner Driscoll. This motion was passed with all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Comptroller requested approval for the Port of San Francisco to join additional organizations, all of which were listed in a memorandum sent to the Commissioners, with detailed explanations concerning each organization. The organizations and costs thereof are:

California Marine Affairs and Navigation Conference	$ 750.00
Associations or Bureaus authorized by or filed for approval with the Federal Maritime Commission under Section 15 of the Shipping Act of 1916 as amended	8,000.00
Foreign American Chambers of Commerce	320.00
National Association of Foreign Trade Zones	50.00
International Managers Association of San Francisco	15.00
American Section, International Association of Navigation Congresses	80.00

Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this request, Commissioner Driscoll seconded this motion, which was passed with all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Comptroller referred to the proposed budget for 1974-75 fiscal year which must be submitted to the City Controller prior to February 1, 1974. Commissioner Magnin suggested the Commission meet on Tuesday evening, January 29, to discuss the proposed budget. Commissioner Rudden moved to continue this matter to January 29, 1974, at 5:00 P.M. Commissioner Driscoll seconded this motion, it was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Port Director referred to the Charter amendment proposed by Supervisor Pelosi relating to the establishment of an Open Space Acquisition Fund to implement the Open Space and Recreation Element of the City's Master Plan. She recommended the Commission support this amendment, and ask that operations on Port property be included, subject to approval of the Port Commission and the State of California. Commissioner Rudden moved to approve this recommendation, Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion, which was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Port Director reported that a letter had been received from Irving Kay regarding the Victorian Village project at Seawall Lot 311. Mr. Kay will not sign the development agreement personally, but for a corporation known as Portland Development Company. The net worth of Portland Development Company and Laurence Kay Development Company is not less than $110,000, Mr. Irving Kay advised in writing. Based on this information, the Port Director recommended that the development agreement be executed. Commissioner Vannelli moved to accept this recommendation, seconded by Commissioner Rudden, this motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Traffic Manager requested permission to issue Correction No. 129 to Tariff 3-C. This is to reduce the penalty charge on delinquent accounts from 1 1/2% to 1% on amounts over $1,000. Commissioner Vannelli moved to approve this request, seconded by Commissioner Rudden, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

Commissioner Magnin reminded the Commissioners that the item concerning the proposed budget for 1974-75 fiscal year had been continued to 5:00 P.M. Tuesday evening, January 29, 1974. The meeting was then recessed to that date and time for all items not previously covered.

On request of Commission notice duly posted that recessed meeting would not be reconvened on January 29 but would be reconvened instead at 10:30 A.M. January 30, 1974.

SAN FRANCISCO PORT COMMISSION

January 30, 1974 San Francisco, Ca.

President Magnin called the recessed meeting of January 23, 1974 to order at 10:30 A.M. January 30, 1974. The regular session of January 23, 1974 then continued.

PRESENT: Commissioners Magnin, Rudden, Bridges, Driscoll, and Vannelli.

ABSENT: None.

The Port Director referred to an item held over from the meeting of January 23, a letter from the Clerk, Board of Supervisors, forwarding communication from the U.S. Department of Interior submitting notice of proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands for establishment of the California Island National Wildlife Refuge. The Port Director stated that the staff supports this proposal. Commissioner Driscoll moved that the Commission support the proposal, seconded by Commissioner Bridges, the motion was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

The Port Director stated there was some confusion in the staff in connection with the area that is to be offered for proposals--whether it is all of the northern waterfront or those areas available from piers 9 to 24. It was agreed the Commission had been referring to the area from 9 to 24, as stated in Commissioner Vannelli's letter that formed the basis of the agenda item.

Commissioner Bridges asked if the Commission was going to encourage proposals for development for 150 days but not make a decision until the end of the 150 days.

Commissioner Magnin pointed out that some proposals would have to be in line with the guidelines established by the BCDC and the Commission does not know what they are going to be at this time, but he believes the Commission will be satisfied with what comes out of the Advisory Committee of the BCDC.

Commissioner Driscoll said that no developer is going to come in and submit a plan until they find out what the recommendations are from the Advisory Committee, and there probably will not be any proposals coming in until after April 1.

Commissioner Magnin said the Commission must get the special area plan from the BCDC, which the Advisory Committee is trying to establish now, and if the Commission does anything to upset this plan, it will be back where it started. When the special area plan is developed, the Commission will have guidelines. Commissioner Bridges asked whether the Commission could not accept a proposal without asking the BCDC, and Commissioner Magnin said it must conform to the BCDC requirements.

The Commercial Property Developer proposed that he put together a program that covers all of those areas designated non-maritime or likely to be designated non-maritime within five years. The Commission would be able to look at how the non-maritime lands are going to be used and make some projections as to what non-maritime income will be. The Commercial Property Developer preferred to wait until all Advisory Committee deliberations have been completed, but said an over-all plan is needed. The Commercial Property Developer stated that the staff is currently working to obtain proposals within the next 150 days, as directed by the Commission.

Commissioner Magnin said the Commission has a professional developer and, in his opinion, the Commission should be guided by the Commercial Property Developer and leave it in his hands. Commissioner Magnin said if the commission tries to work out its problems with BCDC it will have a good chance of developing the waterfront in the best interests of the City. Further, he said Commissioner Vannelli had introduced a good motion, and the Commission should leave it lie. The Commission is trying to determine just what direction to take, and to do what it thinks is in the best interests of the Port and the City. The Commercial Property Developer is to coordinate those areas with City Planning and the BCDC. Commissioner Magnin further stated the best thing the Commission can do is keep still and play it by ear, or it would lose all opportunity for development. The Commercial Property Developer was asked whether he thought the BCDC would accept the recommendations of the Advisory Committee, and the Commerical Property Developer replied that he thought so, if the plan makes economic sense. Commissioner Vannelli said the Commission could not predict what BCDC is going to do; that he agreed with Commissioner Bridges that the commission should do what it thinks is right. Commissioner Driscoll said the Port Commission is getting cooperation and help from the Advisory Committee, he thought the Commission should give it a chance to operate, that we should wait 150 days before developers come in with their plans. Commissioner Bridges said he was not going to be bound by Advisory Committee decision, he did not think the Commission should have to ask the Advisory Committee before making a decision. Commissioner Magnin said he did not think the other commissioners agreed with Commissioner Bridges, and suggested getting on with the budget.

Commissioner Magnin, referring to the proposed budget for the 1974-75 fiscal year, said the Commission must be very careful in its decisions as to how to spend money. Since the Board of Supervisors had granted the Port autonomy, the Commission has an obligation to the Board of Supervisors, to the Mayor, and to the people of San Francisco, to watch every dollar. Commissioner Driscoll agreed.

The Comptroller summarized the proposed budget as follows: the total budget is $16,879,901, which is $729,174 more than the approved budget for this fiscal year. The budget consists of $5,313,911 for salaries, wages and fringe benefits, $1,453,700 for Contractual Services, $620,000 for Materials and Supplies, $295,200 for Fixed Charges, $621,970 for City Fire Department services; $70,979 for Equipment, $480,000 for Improvement Projects; $935,000 for Replacement Projects, and $7,089,141 for Bond Interest and Redemption charges. Belt Railroad salaries and wages and other Belt costs have been deleted from this budget.

Commissioner Magnin said the Port should be prepared to adjust its budget according to economic conditions, and inquired if the revenue figures were realistic. The Port Director replied that they are realistic. There followed some discussion on the interest on investments, and Commissioner Magnin requested that the commissioners be sent a report on how the Port money is invested so that the Commission could be satisfied the Port is getting the maximum income. The Port Director said this would be done. After discussion of estimated rental revenues, Commissioner Magnin suggested income figures be reviewed monthly. The Port Director said quarterly reports could be provided on income and expenses and revenue figures could be provided monthly. Commissioner Magnin said he thought these figures had to be watched very closely to see in which direction we are going. He further stated he had gone over this budget very carefully, and that the Port is in much better condition now than one year ago.

Commissioner Driscoll questioned the Materials and Supplies proposed budget. The superintendent of maintenance said he thought the cost of fuel was understated. The Port Director said this estimated budget was made some months ago and it was the best that could be done at the time. In any event, materials and supplies had been doubled and this is all she could recommend at this time.

Commissioner Magnin again admonished the commission and staff to examine very carefully all funds and how they are used.

Commissioner Vannelli moved that the proposed budget for fiscal year 1974-75 be approved as submitted by the staff. Commissioner Driscoll seconded the motion, which was passed, all Commissioners voting "aye."

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.

cc: SFPC JDY, JBS, CLV, JH, HT, ED, RAB, ME, TG, PAH, JP, DA, APT, MEW, DT. JMS.

RESOLUTION NO. 74-1

WHEREAS, a state law has been amended to permit U-turns at intersections governed by traffic signals; and

WHEREAS, certain such intersections on The Embarcadero present a serious traffic hazard;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Francisco Harbor Traffic Code be amended as follows:

A. That Section 40 be added to the Traffic Code as follows:

> "40. Prohibited U-turn. No person shall make a U-turn in either direction on The Embarcadero where a sign is posted prohibiting U-turns."

- - -

The foregoing resolution is adopted by the Port Commission of the City and County of San Francisco this 23rd day of January, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners Magnin, Rudden, Bridges, Driscoll and Vannelli.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Cyril Magnin, President of the
San Francisco Port Commission

ATTEST:

Miriam E. Wolff, Secretary
San Francisco Port Commission

RESOLUTION NO. 74-2

WHEREAS, the Port Commission over the years has devoted areas to off-street parking; and

WHEREAS, in some instances said areas are operated by Port Commission forces; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to regulate the use of these proprietary off-street parking areas;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the San Francisco Harbor Traffic Code be amended in the following particulars:

A. Existing Article 11 be renumbered Article 12.

B. Existing Section 86 be renumbered Section 90.

C. Article 11 be added as follows:

"Article 11 Parking Lot Regulations

86. The Port Director shall, by order filed with the Assistant Secretary of the San Francisco Port Commission, designate Port areas that are to be used for off-street parking at the amounts established by the Port Commission to be charged for off-street parking.

87. Parking meters, attendants, or such other devices as may be convenient and acceptable for collecting or receiving the charge assessed for parking in such designated parking lots will be established by the Port Director.

88. It shall be unlawful to park in such designated areas without first depositing in the collection device the sum charged for the use of the area.

89. When appropriate signs or markings are in place, giving notice that the area is a parking lot subject to this article, any designated officer of the San Francisco Port Commission is hereby authorized to remove or cause to have removed forthwith any vehicle that is parked in violation of Section 88 of the San Francisco Port Commission Harbor Traffic Code. The procedure for removal and impounding of vehicles shall be as is provided in Article 9 San Francisco Traffic Code and Sec. 22850 to 22854 of the California Vehicle Code."

The foregoing resolution is adopted by the Port Commission of the City and County of San Francisco this 23rd day of January, 1974, by the following vote:

AYES: Commissioners Magnin, Rudden, Bridges, Driscoll and Vannelli.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Cyril Magnin, President of the
San Francisco Port Commission

ATTEST:

Miriam E. Wolff, Secretary
San Francisco Port Commission